

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,924 03/01/2004		Po-Sung Kao	250112-1040	1436	
24504	7590 08/17/2005	EXAMINER			
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948			CHANG, AUDREY Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872	:	
		DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/790,924	4	KAO ET AL.	(AN)			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Audrey Y. (Chang	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C- Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. If the period for reply specified above is less. If NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended per Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF	OMMUNICATION. ne provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period w riod for reply will, by statute, ree months after the mailing	36(a). In no ever y within the statut will apply and will , cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin cory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status	•							
1) Responsive to communicat	tion(s) filed on <u>June</u>	15, 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)∐ This	action is no	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in a	•				e merits is			
closed in accordance with t	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	-		sideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	.5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejecte	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are object								
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/o	r election re	quirement.					
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected	•							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
11) I ne oath or declaration is o	bjected to by the Ex	kammer. No	te the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL	10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin			Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10-1449 or P10/SB/08))	6) Other:	atom Application (C1)	J 102,			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 10/790,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Remark

- This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on June 15, 2005 which has been entered into the file.
- By this amendment, the applicant has amended claim 1.
- Claims 1-10 remain pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent issued to Poradish (PN. 5,777,694) in view of the Japanese Patent issued to Yamaguchi (JP 02001023290A).

Claim 1 has been significantly amended which therefore necessitates the new grounds of the rejection.

Poradish teaches a color filter wheel assembly (15, Figures 1-5) that is comprised of a hub (23, Figures 3-5) serves as the carrier that is rotated around a central axis of the hub or the carrier and filter segments (21), serves as the color filter that are fixed to the carrier. With regard to claim 6, Poradish further teaches that the filter wheel assembly is connected to a motor (15a, Figure 1) via a shaft (15b) that is adapted to the center of the hub, which serves as the central axis, of the color wheel for rotating the color filter wheel, (please see columns 3-5).

Application/Control Number: 10/790,924

Art Unit: 2872

Poradish teaches in order to enhance the proper balance of the color wheel assembly when rotated the central portion or the mid-portion of the hub is made thicker so that the hub of the color wheel is mass-loaded around the drive shaft or central axis, (please see Figures 3-5 and column 5, lines 27-31). The mass around the shaft or the central axis is *symmetrically* formed around the axis of rotation or the shaft.

This reference has met all the limitations of the claims with the exception that it does not teach explicitly that the carrier has a plurality of holes and the balance of the color wheel is achieved by providing a plurality of balancing elements individually located and radially moveable in the holes of the carriers so that the balancing elements adjust the center of mass of the color filter assembly to be on the central axis. It is implicitly true that by standard mechanic, the way to ensure the rotation of the color filter wheel without wobbling due to loss of balance in mass is to have the center of mass of the wheel located on the central axis, (off center of mass will cause non-zero torque force to the wheel and will cause wobbling of the wheel) and such is resulted by symmetrically distributed the weight or mass of the color wheel around the central axis. Yamaguchi teaches an arrangement to provide mass-balance to a turntable (i.e. a rotatable wheel) of a spindle motor wherein the turntable is formed with a plurality of holes (3b, Figure 2) with a plurality balls (1) serve as the balancing elements that are individually located and movable radially along the holes so that when the turntable is turned by the spindle motor the movement of the individual balls adjust the center mass of the turntable to correct difference of the centrifugal force in the unbalance state of the turntable, (please see the abstract). With regard to claims 2 and 7, Yamaguchi teaches that the holes are longitudinally arranged toward the central axis and are parallel arranged with respect to the turntable. With regard to claims 3 and 8, Yamaguchi teaches that the holes are symmetrically formed with respect to the central axis. With regard to claims 4-5 and 9-10, the balls or balancing elements are movable within the holes and it is implicitly true that the balls are located at the locations when the center of mass is located at the axis. Although these references do not teach that the holes are threaded however since the same function, namely allowing the balancing elements to move

Application/Control Number: 10/790,924

Art Unit: 2872

within, is achieved, the method of making the holes are considered to be obvious matters of design of choice to one skilled in the art for the benefit of making the holes by the most fitted way.

It would then have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the teachings of Yamaguchi to modify the color wheel filter assembly of Poradish to make the carrier or hub of the filter wheel with a plurality of holes to allow balancing balls individually located and movable within holes in the radial direction to allow *dynamical correction* the center of mass of the color wheel as the color wheel is rotated and therefore to ensure the proper balance of the filter wheel in order to reduce errors in the color filtering function due to the wobbling of the filter wheel.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Audrey Y. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-2309. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30), alternative Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Audrey Y. Chang, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872

A. Chang, Ph.D.